arXiv:1505.04521v1 [math.CV] 18 May 2015

Loewner Evolution as Itô Diffusion

Hülya Acar

Department of Mathematics, Fatih University, Istanbul, 34500, Turkey

Alexey L. Lukashov

Department of Mathematics, Fatih University, Istanbul, 34500, Turkey

Department of Mechanics and Mathematics, Saratov State University, Saratov, 410012, Russia

---

Abstract

F. Bracci, M.D. Contreras, S. Díaz Madrigal proved that any evolution family of order d is described by a generalized Loewner chain. G. Ivanov and A. Vasil'ev considered randomized version of the chain and found a substitution which transforms it to an Itô diffusion.We generalize their result to vector randomized Loewner chain and prove there are no other possibilities to transform such Loewner chains to Itô diffusions.

Keywords: Loewner chain, Loewner equation, Itô diffusion, Herglötz function.

---

1. Introduction

The Schramm-Loewner evolution (SLE), also known as a stochastic Loewner evolution [7, 11] is a conformaly invariant stochastic process which attracts many researchers during last 15 years. This process is a stochastic generalization of the Loewner-Kufarev differential equations. SLE has the domain Markov property which is closely related to the fact that the equations can be represented as time homogeneous diffusion equations. There are two main classes of SLE: Chordal SLE which gives a family of random curves from two

---

Email addresses: `hulyaacar98@gmail.com` (Hülya Acar), `alukashov@fatih.edu.tr` (Alexey L. Lukashov)

[1] The authors would like to thank the Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TUBİTAK) for the financial support (project no:113F369).

fixed boundary points and radial SLE which gives a family of random curves from a fixed boundary point to a fixed interior point.

The radial equation was introduced by K. Loewner in 1923. The idea was to represent domains by means of a family (known as Loewner chains) of univalent functions defined on the unit disc and satisfying a suitable differential equation.

The classical radial Loewner equation in the unit disc $\mathbb{D} := \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : |\zeta| < 1\}$ is the following differential equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\phi(z)}{dt} = G(\phi_t(z), t) \\ \phi_0(z) = z \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

for almost every $t \in [0, \infty)$ where $G(w, t) = -wp(w, t)$ with the function $p : \mathbb{D} \times [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{C}$ measurable in t, holomorphic in z, $p(0, t) = 1$ and $\Re(p(z, t)) \geq 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and $t \geq 0$ (such functions p are called Herglötz functions).

Recently Georgy Ivanov and Alexander Vasil'ev [4] considered random version of this Loewner differential equation with $G(w,t) = \frac{(\tau(t)-w)^2 p(w,t)}{\tau(t)}$ for

$$\tau(t) = \tau(t, w) = \exp(ikB_t(w)). \tag{2}$$

They found a substitution which transforms the randomized Loewner equation with $p(w, t) = \tilde{p}\left(\frac{w}{\tau(t)}\right)$ to an Itô diffusion and obtained the infinitesimal generator of the Itô diffusion in this form:

$$A = \left(-\frac{z}{2}k^2 + (1-z)^2\tilde{p}(z)\right)\frac{d}{dz} - \frac{1}{2}k^2 z^2 \frac{d^2}{dz^2}. \tag{3}$$

The main result is an inverse statement. Namely we prove that under rather general suppositions on $\tau(t) = \tau(t, B_t)$, it is possible to find a substitution which transforms (1) to an Itô diffusion if and only if τ is given by (2). We generalize this necessary and sufficient condition for higher dimensions when τ depends on some independent Brownian motions

$$\tau(t) = \tau(\mathbf{B}_t)$$

where $\mathbf{B}_t = (B_t^1, B_t^2, \ldots, B_t^n)$.

We denote by $\check{C}$ the set of functions $f(z, \mathbf{x})$ from $C^n(\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that these functions have continuous derivatives up to order n, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}$ doesn't vanish and $H(\mathbb{D})$ is the set of analytic functions in $\mathbb{D}$.

Theorem 1. *Consider Loewner random differential equation*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\phi_t(z,w)}{dt} = \frac{(\tau_1(t,w)-\phi_t(z,w))^2}{\tau_1(t,w)}\tilde{p}(\frac{\phi_t(z,w)}{\tau_1(t,w)}) \\ \phi_0\left(z,w\right) = z \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

where $|\tau_1\left(t,\omega\right)| = 1$ *for each fixed* $w \in \Omega$ *(*Ω *is a sample space) and* $\tilde{p}$ *is an arbitrary Herglötz function. Suppose* $\psi_t = m(\phi_t, B_t^{(1)}, B_t^{(2)}, \ldots, B_t^{(n)})$ *where* $B_t^{(i)}$ *are independent Brownian motions,* $m \in \breve{C}$ *and* $\tau_1\left(t,\omega\right) = \tau(\mathbf{B}_t)$ *then,* ψ_t *is an* $n \times 1$ *dimensional Itô diffusion with coefficients from* $H(\mathbb{D})$ *for an arbitrary Herglötz function* $\tilde{p}$ *if and only if* $\tau(\mathbf{B}_t) = e^{\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{B}_t}$ *where* $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \ldots, k_n)$ *and* $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^n$*.*

Furthermore the infinitesimal generator of ψ_t *(when it is an Itô diffusion) is given by this form*

$$A = \left(-\frac{z}{2}\left|\mathbf{k}\right|^2 + (1-z)^2\tilde{p}(z)\right)\frac{d}{dz} - \frac{1}{2}\left|\mathbf{k}\right|^2 z^2 \frac{d^2}{dz^2}. \tag{5}$$

Proof. For $n = 1$ sufficiency part was proved by G. Ivanov and A. Vasilev. We use similar argument to prove sufficiency for arbitrary n. By the complex Itô formula, the process

$$\frac{1}{\tau(\mathbf{B}_t)} = e^{-ik_1B_t^{(1)}-ik_2B_t^{(2)}-\ldots-ik_nB_t^{(n)}}$$

satisfies the stochastic differential equation (SDE)

$$\begin{aligned} d(e^{-ik_1B_t^{(1)}-\ldots-ik_nB_t^{(n)}}) = -\sum_{j=1}^{n} ik_j e^{-ik_1B_t^{(1)}-\ldots-ik_nB_t^{(n)}}dB_t^{(j)} \\ -\tfrac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{n} k_j^2 e^{-ik_1B_t^{(1)}-\ldots-ik_nB_t^{(n)}}dt. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Let us denote $\psi_t(z,w) = \frac{\phi_t(z,w)}{\tau(\mathbf{B}_t)}$. Applying the integration by parts formula for ψ_t, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(\psi_t) &= \phi_t d(e^{-ik_1 B_t^{(1)}-\ldots-ik_n B_t^{(n)}}) + (e^{-ik_1 B_t^{(1)}-\ldots-ik_n B_t^{(n)}})d\phi_t \\
&= e^{-ik_1 B_t^{(1)}-\ldots-ik_n B_t^{(n)}} \frac{\left(e^{ik_1 B_t^{(1)}+\ldots+ik_n B_t^{(n)}}-\phi_t(z,w)\right)^2}{e^{ik_1 B_t^{(1)}+\ldots+ik_n B_t^{(n)}}}\tilde{p}(\psi_t)dt \\
&\quad -i\psi_t \sum_{j=1}^{n} k_j dB_t^{(j)} - \tfrac{\psi_t}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} k_j^2 dt \\
&= -i\psi_t \mathbf{k}\cdot d\mathbf{B}_t + (-\tfrac{|\mathbf{k}|^2}{2}\psi_t + (\psi_t - 1)^2 \tilde{p}(\psi_t))dt
\end{aligned}
\tag{7}
$$

So ψ_t is an Itô diffusion in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Now for the necessity part, from our supposition $\psi_t = m(\phi_t, B_t^{(1)}, \ldots, B_t^{(n)})$. Apply Itô formula;

$$
d(\psi_t) = \frac{\partial m}{\partial x} d\phi_t + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial m}{\partial y_i} dB_t^{(i)} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2 m}{\partial y_i^2} dt. \tag{8}
$$

and if (8) is an $n \times 1$ dimensional Itô diffusion with analytic coefficients then there are functions $f_i \in H(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$
\frac{\partial m}{\partial y_i} = f_i(m(x, \mathbf{y})). \tag{9}
$$

Taking derivative of (9) with respect to y_j we obtain

$$
\frac{f_i'(m(x,\mathbf{y}))}{f_i(m(x,\mathbf{y}))} = \frac{f_j'(m(x,\mathbf{y}))}{f_j(m(x,\mathbf{y}))}.
$$

Hence

$$
(\ln f_i(z))' = (\ln f_j(z))'
$$

and

$$
f_i(z) = c_{ij} f_j(z). \tag{10}
$$

Let us denote

$$
f_i(z) = c_i f(z) \tag{11}
$$

and let $F(z)$ be an antiderivative of $\frac{1}{f(z)}$, hence

$$
F(m(x,\mathbf{y})) = \mathbf{c}\cdot\mathbf{y} + q(x)
$$

where $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \ldots, c_n)$.

Since by supposition F' doesn't vanish, there exists an inverse function F^{-1} and

$$m(x, \mathbf{y}) = F^{-1}(\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{y} + q(x)). \tag{12}$$

Let us denote

$$F^{-1}(z) = G(z). \tag{13}$$

Now for coefficients in dt, we have

$$\frac{\partial m}{\partial x} d\Phi_t + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2 m}{\partial y_i^2} dt = g(G(\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{y} + q(x)) dt.$$

where g is an analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$. If we substitute (9, 11, 13) then we get,

$$\begin{gathered} G'(\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{y} + q(x)) q'(x) \tfrac{d\phi_t}{dt} + f'(G(\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{y} + q(x))) f(G(\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{y} + q(x))) \tfrac{1}{2} \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i^2 \\ = g(G(\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{y} + q(x))). \end{gathered} \tag{14}$$

$$G'(\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{y} + q(x)) q'(x) \frac{(\tau(\mathbf{y}) - x)^2}{\tau(\mathbf{y})} \tilde{p}(\frac{x}{\tau(\mathbf{y})}) = g_1(\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{y} + q(x)) \tag{15}$$

where we denote $g_1(z) = g(z) - f'(z) f(z) \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i^2$.

Definition of (12) shows that $G'(\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{y} + q(x))$ doesn't vanish. Hence $g_1(\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{y} + q(x))$ is not identically zero. So equation (15) can be written as

$$q'(x) \frac{(\tau(\mathbf{y}) - x)^2}{\tau(\mathbf{y})} \tilde{p}(\frac{x}{\tau(\mathbf{y})}) = H_1(\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{y} + q(x)) \tag{16}$$

where $H_1(z) = \frac{g_1(z)}{G'(z)}$.

Let us differentiate it with respect to x and y_i. Then we obtain two equalities:

$$\begin{gathered} q''(x) \tfrac{(\tau(\mathbf{y}) - x)^2}{\tau(\mathbf{y})} \tilde{p}(\tfrac{x}{\tau(\mathbf{y})}) - 2 q'(x) \tfrac{(\tau(\mathbf{y}) - x)}{\tau(\mathbf{y})} \tilde{p}(\tfrac{x}{\tau(\mathbf{y})}) + q'(x) \tfrac{(\tau(\mathbf{y}) - x)^2}{\tau^2(\mathbf{y})} \tilde{p}'(\tfrac{x}{\tau(\mathbf{y})}) \\ = q'(x) H_1'(\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{y} + q(x)) \end{gathered} \tag{17}$$

and

$$q'(x)\frac{(\tau(\mathbf{y})-x)\frac{\partial\tau(\mathbf{y})}{\partial y_i}(\tau(\mathbf{y})+x)}{\tau^2(\mathbf{y})}\tilde{p}(\tfrac{x}{\tau(\mathbf{y})}) - q'(x)\frac{(\tau(\mathbf{y})-x)^2\frac{\partial\tau(\mathbf{y})}{\partial y_i}x}{\tau^2(\mathbf{y})}\tilde{p}'(\tfrac{x}{\tau(\mathbf{y})})$$

$$= c_i H_1'(\mathbf{c}\cdot\mathbf{y}+q(x));\quad i=1,2,\dots,n. \tag{18}$$

Now (17) and (18) imply,

$$q''(x)\frac{(\tau(\mathbf{y})-x)^2}{\tau(\mathbf{y})}\tilde{p}\left(\frac{x}{\tau(\mathbf{y})}\right) - 2q'(x)\frac{(\tau(\mathbf{y})-x)}{\tau(\mathbf{y})}\tilde{p}\left(\frac{x}{\tau(\mathbf{y})}\right) + q'(x)\frac{(\tau(\mathbf{y})-x)^2}{\tau^2(\mathbf{y})}\tilde{p}'\left(\frac{x}{\tau(\mathbf{y})}\right)$$

$$= \frac{q'(x)}{c_i}\left[q'(x)\frac{(\tau(\mathbf{y})-x)\frac{\partial\tau(\mathbf{y})}{\partial y_i}(\tau(\mathbf{y})+x)}{\tau^2(\mathbf{y})}\tilde{p}\left(\frac{x}{\tau(\mathbf{y})}\right) - q'(x)\frac{(\tau(\mathbf{y})-x)^2\frac{\partial\tau(\mathbf{y})}{\partial y_i}x}{\tau^2(\mathbf{y})}\tilde{p}'\left(\frac{x}{\tau(\mathbf{y})}\right)\right];$$

$$i=1,2,\dots,n. \tag{19}$$

Take derivative of (19) with respect to y_i again ;

$$\Bigg[\tilde{p}'\left(\frac{x}{\tau(\mathbf{y})}\right)\frac{x\frac{\partial\tau(\mathbf{y})}{\partial y_i}}{\tau^2(\mathbf{y})}(-q''(x)(\tau(\mathbf{y})-x)+3q'(x)) - \frac{q'(x)x\frac{\partial\tau(\mathbf{y})}{\partial y_i}(\tau(\mathbf{y})-x)}{\tau^3(\mathbf{y})}\tilde{p}''\left(\frac{x}{\tau(\mathbf{y})}\right)$$

$$+q''(x)\frac{\partial\tau(\mathbf{y})}{\partial y_i}\tilde{p}\left(\frac{x}{\tau(\mathbf{y})}\right)\Bigg]\cdot\left[q'(x)\frac{\frac{\partial\tau(\mathbf{y})}{\partial y_i}(\tau(\mathbf{y})+x)}{\tau(\mathbf{y})}\tilde{p}\left(\frac{x}{\tau(\mathbf{y})}\right) - q'(x)\frac{(\tau(\mathbf{y})-x)\frac{\partial\tau(\mathbf{y})}{\partial y_i}x}{\tau^2(\mathbf{y})}\tilde{p}'\left(\frac{x}{\tau(\mathbf{y})}\right)\right]$$

$$= \Bigg[q'(x)\frac{\frac{\partial^2\tau(\mathbf{y})}{\partial {y_i}_2}\tau(\mathbf{y})(\tau(\mathbf{y})+x)-(\frac{\partial\tau(\mathbf{y})}{\partial y_i})^2x}{\tau^2(\mathbf{y})}\tilde{p}\left(\frac{x}{\tau(\mathbf{y})}\right) - \frac{q'(x)}{\tau^3(\mathbf{y})}(x\frac{\partial^2\tau(\mathbf{y})}{\partial {y_i}_2}\tau(\mathbf{y})(\tau(\mathbf{y})-x)$$

$$+3x^2(\frac{\partial\tau(\mathbf{y})}{\partial y_i})^2)\tilde{p}'\left(\frac{x}{\tau(\mathbf{y})}\right) + q'(x)\frac{(\tau(\mathbf{y})-x))x^2(\frac{\partial\tau(\mathbf{y})}{\partial y_i})^2}{\tau^4(\mathbf{y})}\tilde{p}''\left(\frac{x}{\tau(\mathbf{y})}\right)\Bigg]\cdot\Big[q''(x)(\tau(\mathbf{y})-x)\tilde{p}\left(\frac{x}{\tau(\mathbf{y})}\right)$$

$$-2q'(x)\tilde{p}\left(\frac{x}{\tau(\mathbf{y})}\right) + q'(x)(\tau(\mathbf{y})-x)\tilde{p}'\left(\frac{x}{\tau(\mathbf{y})}\right)\Big];\quad i=1,2,\dots,n. \tag{20}$$

Observe that the functions $(\tilde{p}'(z))^2$, $\tilde{p}'(z)\,\tilde{p}(z)$, $(\tilde{p}(z))^2$, $\tilde{p}''(z)\,\tilde{p}(z)$, $\tilde{p}''(z)\,\tilde{p}'(z)$ and $(\tilde{p}''(z))^2$,where $\tilde{p}$ are arbitrary Herglötz functions, are independent. In fact they are independent even for $\tilde{p}(w)=\frac{1}{1-w}+a$, $a>0$, what can be checked by straightforward calculations. Hence coefficients in $(\tilde{p}'(z))^2$, $\tilde{p}'(z)\,\tilde{p}(z)$, $(\tilde{p}(z))^2$, $\tilde{p}''(z)\,\tilde{p}(z)$, $\tilde{p}''(z)\,\tilde{p}'(z)$and $(\tilde{p}''(z))^2$ in the left and right hand part of (20)coincide.

In particular,

$$x\left(\frac{\partial\tau(\mathbf{y})}{\partial y_i}\right)^2 q''(x) = -\tau(\mathbf{y})\frac{\partial^2\tau(\mathbf{y})}{\partial y_i^2}q'(x) \tag{21}$$

and

$$q'(x) = -xq''(x). \tag{22}$$

Then

$$q(x) = \alpha\ln x, \tag{23}$$

where α is a constant. If we substitute this in (21) then we obtain

$$\left(\frac{\partial\tau(\mathbf{y})}{\partial y_i}\right)^2 = \tau(\mathbf{y})\frac{\partial^2\tau(\mathbf{y})}{\partial y_i^2}, \quad 1\le i\le n. \tag{24}$$

It is easy to see that any solution of system (24) can be written as

$$\tau(\mathbf{y}) = h_1(y_2,\dots,y_n)e^{y_1g_1(y_2,\dots,y_n)} = h_2(y_1,y_3,\dots,y_n)e^{y_2g_2(y_1,y_3,\dots,y_n)} =$$

$$\dots = h_n(y_1,\dots,y_{n-1})e^{y_ng_n(y_1,\dots,y_{n-1})},$$

where $h_1,\dots,h_n$ are sufficiently smooth functions.Then

$$\frac{\partial^n\ln\tau(\mathbf{y})}{\partial y_1\dots\partial y_n} = \frac{\partial^{n-1}g_1(y_2,\dots,y_n)}{\partial y_2\dots\partial y_n} = \dots = \frac{\partial^{n-1}\partial g_n(y_1,\dots,y_{n-1})}{\partial y_1\dots\partial y_{n-1}} = c. \tag{25}$$

It gives the general solution of (24)

$$\ln\tau(\mathbf{y}) = cy_n\dots y_1 + \tilde{g}_1(y_2,\dots,y_n) + \dots + \tilde{g}_n(y_1,\dots,y_{n-1}), \tag{26}$$

where $\tilde{g}_1,\dots,\tilde{g}_n$ are arbitrary sufficiently smooth functions.If we put this $\tau(\mathbf{y})$ in (19) and take coefficient of $\tilde{p}'(z)$, then we obtain

$$\frac{c_i}{t_i} = \prod_{k=1}^n y_k + \sum_{k=1,k\neq i}^n \frac{\partial\tilde{g}_k(y_1,...,\tilde{y}_i,...,y_n)}{\partial y_i} \tag{27}$$

Taking derivative $\frac{\partial^{n-1}}{\partial y_2\dots\partial y_n}$ of (27) with $i=1$, we obtain $c=0$.

Moreover we claim that (26) and (27) imply $\tau(\mathbf{y}) = \exp(\mathbf{K}\cdot\mathbf{y})$. Indeed for $n = 1$ it follows immediately from (24). For $n > 1$ we take derivative of (27) with respect to $y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}$ we obtain

$$\frac{\partial^{n-1}\tilde{g}_n(y_1,\dots,y_{n-1})}{\partial y_1 \dots \partial y_{n-1}} = 0.$$

Hence $\tilde{g}_n$ can be written as sum of functions of $n-2$ variables. By induction it implies $\tau(\mathbf{y}) = \exp(\sum_{i=1}^{n} K_i(y_i))$, and application of (24) finishes the proof of the necessity part.

Now [7, Theorem 7.3.3] says that the generator A of the process ψ_t from (7) is given by (5). ■

References

References

[1] F. Bracci, M.D. Contreras, S. Díaz-Madrigal, Evolution families and the Loewner equation I:the unit disc,J. Reine Angew. Math. 672 (2012) 1-37.

[2] L.P. Duren,Univalent functions, Springer- Verlag, New York, 1983.

[3] G.M. Goluzin, Geometrial theory of functions of a complex variable, second ed. ,Nauka, Moscow, 1966.

[4] G. Ivanov, A. Vasil'ev, Loewner evolution driven by a stochastic boundary point, Anal. Math. Phys. 1(2011) 387-412.

[5] H.H. Kuo, Introduction to stochastic integration, Springer, USA, 2006.

[6] G.F. Lawler, Conformally Invariant Processes in the Plane, American Mathematical Society, USA, 2005.

[7] G.F. Lawler, An introduction to the stochastic Loewner evolution, in Random Walks and Geometry, V. Kaimonovich, ed., de Gruyter.,(2004) 261-293.

[8] P. Mörters, Y. Peres, Brownian Motion, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2010.

[9] B. Oksendal, Stochastic differential equations, sixth ed., Springer-Verlag,New York, 2007.

[10] C. Pommerenke, Univalent functions, Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1975.

[11] S. Rohde, O. Schramm, Basic properties of SLE, Ann. of Math. (2) 161 (2005) 883-924.

[12] O. Schramm, Scaling limits of loop-erased random walks and uniform spanning trees, Isr. J. Math. 118 (2000) 221-288.

[13] J.M. Steele, Stochastic calculus and financial applications,second ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.